

HR0620 Enrolled

LRB095 12794 CMK 38209 r

1 HOUSE RESOLUTION 620

the British Petroleum refinery; and

20

2	WHEREAS, The British Petroleum oil refinery in Whiting,
3	Indiana is planning an expansion that would increase the
4	refinery's discharges into Lake Michigan significantly,
5	including 54% more ammonia (for a total discharge of 1,584
6	pounds of ammonia per day) and 35% more total suspended solids
7	(for a total discharge of 4,925 pounds of total suspended
8	solids per day) on a daily basis, running contrary to years of
9	efforts to clean up the Great Lakes; and
10	WHEREAS, The beaches of Lake Michigan provide a significant
11	source of recreation, tourism, and drinking water for the State
12	of Illinois and other states bordering the Lake; and
13	WHEREAS, Last year, 81% of Illinois beaches were declared
14	unsafe due to algae and other pollution problems; and
15	WHEREAS, Ammonia promotes algae blooms that can kill fish
16	in the Lake; and
17	WHEREAS, The increased discharge into the Lake runs counter
18	to a provision of the federal Clean Water Act that prohibits
19	any downgrade in water quality near a pollution source, such as

- 1 WHEREAS, Indiana State regulators are allowing British
- 2 Petroleum to install equipment that mixes its toxic waste with
- clean lake water about 200 feet offshore, and actively diluting 3
- pollution in Lake Michigan in this way is banned under Indiana 4
- 5 law; and
- 6 WHEREAS, Environmental regulators remain unsure about the
- 7 ecological effects of the relatively new refining process that
- 8 British Petroleum plans to use and the increased discharge into
- 9 Lake Michigan; and
- 10 WHEREAS, The United States Environmental Protection Agency
- 11 has not objected to the State of Indiana's decision to allow
- the increased discharge by British Petroleum; and 12
- 13 WHEREAS, States rely on the federal government to enforce
- 14 interstate water quality, the federal Clean Water Act has
- assisted states in cleaning up polluted waters and protecting 15
- unpolluted waters, and states need new tools in addition to the 16
- 17 existing Clean Water Act to help protect ground and surface
- 18 waters from out-of-state pollution sources; and
- 19 WHEREAS, The federal Clean Air Act requires states to take
- certain actions when permitting new sources of air pollution 20
- 21 that may increase pollution in nearby states and provides
- remedies for states to address air pollution coming from 22

HR0620 Enrolled

1 out-of-state sources; therefore, be it

- HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 2 RESOLVED, ΒY THE ΟF THE 3 NINETY-FIFTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we 4 urge British Petroleum to review and reconsider the wastewater 5 treatment facilities proposed to serve the expansion and reconfiguration of its Whiting Refinery with the specific 6 intent to supplement or enhance treatment efficiencies to 7 8 eliminate or reduce the projected increases of ammonia nitrogen 9 and total suspended solids discharged into Lake Michigan; and 10 be it further
- 11 strongly urge the RESOLVED, That we United States 12 Environmental Protection Agency to follow а 13 interpretation of the federal Clean Water Act to minimize any 14 additional pollution into Lake Michigan; and be it further
- RESOLVED, That we urge the United States Environmental
 Protection Agency to prohibit the Whiting, Indiana British
 Petroleum refinery from increasing the refinery's current
 discharges of ammonia and total suspended solids into Lake
 Michigan until the ecological and environmental impact of the
 increased discharges is fully understood by federal and state
 environmental regulators; and be it further
- 22 RESOLVED, That we urge the Illinois delegation to the

- 1 United States Congress to take legislative action against any
- 2 planned increase in the discharge of pollution into Lake
- 3 Michigan that is contrary to the federal Clean Water Act and
- 4 threatens the health of the environment of this State; and be
- 5 it further

12

13

14

15

16

17

- RESOLVED, That we urge the United States Congress to enact
 Clean Water Act legislation requiring states to take certain
 actions when permitting new sources of water pollution that may
 increase pollution in nearby states and providing remedies for
 states to address water pollution coming from out-of-state
- 11 sources; and be it further
 - RESOLVED, That we urge the United States Environmental Protection Agency to strengthen federal regulations to require each state that permits a new source of water pollution to provide written notice to all nearby states whose water quality may be degraded by the new source at least 60 days before the new source begins discharging pollution; and be it further
- RESOLVED, That we encourage the federal government to take action to give one state the right to bring an action in federal court against another state that is causing or contributing to water pollution that exceeds water quality standards or otherwise adversely impacts the drinking water or recreational uses of water in the first state; and be it

1 further

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

RESOLVED, That we urge the United States Environmental
Protection Agency to increase enforcement of existing clean
water laws to protect state waters from out-of-state pollution
sources, especially the Clean Water Act provision that
prohibits any decline in water quality even if limits on
pollution discharges are met; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a suitable copy of this resolution be delivered to the administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Stephen L. Johnson, and to the Great Lakes National Program Manager for the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Mary A. Gade, to each member of the Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin delegations to the United States Congress, and to the governors and state legislative leaders of Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin.